REMARKS

Initially, Applicants would like to express appreciation to the Examiner for the detailed Official Action provided, and for the indication of the allowability of claims 1-3. Upon entry of the present amendment, the title and claims 1-3 will have been amended, and claims 7-9 will have been added. Claims 1-3 and 7-9 are pending in the present application. Applicants note that the title has been changed to reflect the amendments to the claims, discussed hereinbelow, and that newly-added claims 7-9 generally correspond to amended method claims 1-3, but recite apparatus claims.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-3 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of parent patent (i.e., U.S.
Patent No. 6,748,023) in view of claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,738,430. In this regard,
solely in order to advance the prosecution of the present application and without agreeing
to the propriety of the Examiner's rejection, Applicant has amended independent claim 1
(and has provided newly-added independent claim 7) to recite that the signal point of the
pilot symbol is allocated an in-phase axis or a quadrature axis, a claim limitation not
present in U.S. Patent Nos. 6,748,023 and/or 6,738,430. Applicants further note that in
amended claim 1 (and in newly-added independent claim 7), timing controlling has been
replaced with order controlling, and that claims 1-3 have been amended to recite a
method rather than an apparatus (clams 7-9 now recite the claimed apparatus).

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that each and every pending claim of the present application meets all requirements for patentability, and respectfully requests the

Applicants note that page 2, line 1 of item 2 of the Official Action indicates that only claims 2-3 have been rejected; however, Applicants note that the PTOL-326 form, as well as page 3 of the Official Action appears to indicate that all pending claims (i.e., claims 1-3) have been rejected. Applicants respectfully request clarification in this regard.

P24955.A04

Examiner to indicate the allowance of each and every pending claim in the present application.

P24955.A04

CONCLUSION

In view of the fact that none of the art of record, whether considered alone, or in

any proper combination thereof, renders unpatentable the present claimed invention, and

in further view of the above remarks, reconsideration of the Examiner's action and

allowance of the present application are respectfully requested and are believed to be

anowance of the present application are respectfully requested and are believed to be

appropriate.

Applicants note that this amendment is being made to advance prosecution of the

application to allowance and should not be considered as surrendering equivalents of the

territory between the claims prior to the present amendment and the amended claims.

Further, no acquiescence as to the propriety of the Examiner's rejection is made by the

present amendment. All other amendments to the claims which have been made in this

amendment, and which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based

upon the prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to

patentability (e.g., for grammatical purposes), and no estoppel should be deemed to attach

thereto.

Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully Submitted, Yutaka MURAKAMI et al.

William S. Boshnick Reg. No. 44,550

Will Boshi

Bruce H. Bernstein Reg. No. 29,027

November 6, 2007 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191

(703) 716-1191 {P24955 00199982.DOC}